

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

7
7
_

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \sum No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ☐Yes ☐ No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	e 🗌	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMI (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dusternissions?	yards, which shall include one or more of the following: sock piles, and yards? Suppressant chemicals when necessary to control repared areas under control of the owner/operator to reduce airborne particulate matter?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————			
MARUFUL MALIK	11/09/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	11/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: On November 09, 2009 I visited this facility to witness a visible emissions test and to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Mr. Richard Gillespie, the Operation Manager of the facility. The visible emissions test was not conducted because the silo was full. Mrs. Judi Beck, the Project Manager of South Florida Environmental Dervices, informed me that she confirmed the upcoming VE test with Mr. Gillespie on November 06, 2009 but was disappointed to know that the silo was full today. The concrete production is approximately 50 yards per day. The water truck of the facility pumps recycled water out of the pool and water the plant on a daily basis. A truck was loading one yard of concrete during the time of my inspection and no visible emission was observed.